EXHIBIT A

	Page 75
1	new districting plan to what exists; is that
2	correct?
3	A. Yes. I did say that.
4	Q. That's the benchmark, the status quo.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. Let's say that's the benchmark anyway
7	for the purposes of this.
8	Okay. I asked you to bring some
9	documents.
.0	A. Yes, you did.
.1	Q. Actually, did you bring a big map
.2	A. I did not.
.3	Q of the districting plan?
. 4	Well, we probably get to these, and
.5	then if I don't, we'll you can identify what
.6	you brought.
.7	A. Sure.
.8	Q. What exactly did plaintiffs ask you
.9	to do?
20	A. The plaintiffs asked me to prepare a
21	report to evaluate a districting plan, actually,
22	several districting plans, that have been
23	prepared for them. And specifically, there
24	were actually, I received two plans for City

	Page 76
1	Council, one of which I did the report on.
2	There was some modifications made to it. And
3	there was a third plan, which was a City Council
4	plan, assembled by precincts rather than by
5	census blocks. And then there were two plans
6	for School Committee, one assembled by census
7	blocks, one assembled by precincts, and they
8	were these were separate requests, but
9	considered as a group. I was asked to prepare a
10	report and maps for the report, and tables for
11	the report, and evaluate those districts with
12	respect to the principals we've been discussing
13	and to render some opinions.
14	Q. Evaluate them specifically for what?
15	A. One person, one vote; compactness;
16	opportunity to elect.
17	Q. Did you have anything to do with the
18	creation of these plans?
19	MS. COHEN: Objection.
20	You may answer.
21	THE WITNESS: I had conversations
22	with the person who prepared them, and I
23	have actually helped train him in
24	previous activities, putting these plans

	Page 77
1	together. But the direct answer to your
2	question is no.
3	Q. (By Ms. Ross) When you said you had
4	conversations with him, who is the "him" that
5	drew the plan?
6	A. His name is Jeff Arp.
7	Q. And for whom does he work?
8	A. I believe he works for MassVOTE.
9	Q. And when you say you had
10	conversations with him, did you have
11	conversations prior to the creation of the plan
12	or after the creation of, let's say, the City
13	Council plan?
14	A. No. Not prior to it, no.
15	Q. And then you were not present during
16	the creation?
17	A. No.
18	Q. And so you did not direct the
19	creation in any way?
20	MS. COHEN: Objection.
21	THE WITNESS: No.
22	Q. (By Ms. Ross) Do you know who did
23	establish the parameters for the plan?
24	A. Would you define what you mean by

	Page 78
1	"parameters"?
2	Q. Okay. Do you know who established
3	the number of single member districts for the
4	plan?
5	A. No. But I can surmise.
6	MS. COHEN: No surmising.
7	THE WITNESS: No surmising. No,
8	I do not know.
9	MS. COHEN: If you know, you
10	know.
11	Q. (By Ms. Ross) Do you know who
12	established the threshold of viability for the
13	plan?
14	A. No, I do not.
15	Q. Do you know who identified
16	traditional districting principals to which the
17	plan must comply?
18	A. I thought I did that. I don't know
19	who informed them of that, no.
20	Q. Do you know who told them what the
21	acceptable deviation among the districts aught
22	to be?
23	A. Jeff and I have had conversations
24	about that in the past, but I do not actually

Page 81
redistricting maps and the demographic
information?
A. Actually, I wasn't supplied the maps.
I generated the maps myself. I was supplied the
data. I was supplied on the report about the
reaggregation to precinct level information for
2003 election. I was provided with some
election return data on that. But it was just
basically the raw data.
Q. Well, I'm going to table that and get
to the reaggregation a little bit later, but
were you provided with Dr. Engstrom's regression
analysis?
A. No, I was not.
Q. Did you conduct any analysis of your
own of voting
MS. COHEN: Objection.
Q. (By Ms. Ross) behavior in
Springfield?
A. No, I did not. No.
Q. Did you travel to Springfield to
familiarize yourself with any of the
neighborhoods?
MS. COHEN: Objection, but you

	Page 105
1	A. No, I do not.
2	Q. All right. You say that the plus or
3	minus five percent is an accepted and I'm
4	quoting accepted level of variation for state
5	assembly districts after a long string of
6	supreme court cases, what supreme court cases?
7	A. I can't name one off the top of my
8	head. I just cannot do that.
9	Q. And you testified earlier that you're
10	not familiar with Larios versus Cox?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Do you have any opinion as to whether
13	this plan would be vulnerable to a
14	constitutional challenge on one person, one vote
15	grounds as interpreted by Larios versus Cox?
16	MS. COHEN: I'm going to object
17	to the extent it calls for a legal
18	opinion.
19	If you can answer, you may.
20	Q. (By Ms. Ross) By "this plan" I mean
21	the nine single member district City Council
22	plan.
23	A. No, I do not.
24	Q. Okay. What is the basis for your

Page 106 1 claim that more than a plus or minus five 2 percent deviation is permitted in municipal 3 districts? 4 The reading that I did, which I Α. 5 cannot site to you, mentioned that in municipal 6 districts sometime the standard is allowed to be 7 even broader than plus or minus five percent, 8 and so I decided that adopting the numbers for 9 state assembly districts would be more 10 conservative and a good thing to do. 11 Do you recall --Q. 12 Α. -- the citation. 13 -- the citation to a case? Q. 14 Α. No, I do not. 15 An opinion? Q. 16 Α. No, I do not. 17 I'm looking at the demographics of Q. 18 your nine single member district City Council 19 plan that you have -- okay. This is Page 7 of 20 Exhibit A of your report. What is your reason 21 for underpopulating District 3 and 4 in your 22 nine single member district City Council 23 proposed plan? 24 Since I did not prepare the plan, I Α.

	Page 140
1	statistics, percentages, proportions,
2	deviations, you've set it up to do, and it gives
3	you a lot of opportunity to "play games" is
4	not the right word to evaluate alternatives
5	without going through the really tedious
6	calculation of opening up the table each time
7	and running numbers in a summary, so it simply
8	streamlines that process.
9	Q. So am I correct in assuming that what
10	you mean by "methodology" is really the method
11	by which GIS the method by which you pull
12	together the plan?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. It's not do you mean, at all, the
15	types of considerations that you bring to the
16	physical process of pulling census blocks
17	together?
18	A. No. The methodology refers to that
19	physical process, yes.
20	Q. Am I correct in remembering that you
21	said you did not conduct any analysis of voting
22	in Springfield?
23	MS. COHEN: Objection.
24	Q. (By Ms. Ross) Did you conduct any

	Page 141
1	let's start from the beginning analysis of
2	voting in Springfield?
3	A. In the supplemental report on the
4	reaggregation for the precincts, yes, there was
5	some analysis of voting in Springfield.
6	Q. Did you conduct any other analysis of
7	voting in Springfield, other than what's
8	represented in the supplemental report?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Did you review Dr. Engstrom's
11	analysis of voting?
12	A. No, I did not.
13	Q. Did you ask to see it?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Why not?
16	A. I wasn't
17	MS. COHEN: Objection.
18	THE WITNESS: I wasn't aware it
19	was being done.
20	Q. (By Ms. Ross) Out of curiosity, do
21	you have any knowledge why Dr. Engstrom didn't
22	evaluate the plan?
23	MS. COHEN: Objection.
24	THE WITNESS: No. I do not know.
1	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 142 (By Ms. Ross) What do you bring --Q. what is your opinion of what you bring to this analysis that the individual who conducted the racial block voting would not? Some experience in putting together reports like this and organizing the information, I think that's probably -organizing it and explaining it. I think that's probably the principal thing that I bring to this. The actual construction of the district, if you want to go play with the tools, it's fun, it's not that hard. You can -- and I have trained people to do it in half a day, and it's a very empowering thing to move these things around and play things with that. But I think I bring something else, other than that, to it. Okay. What exactly is your expert Q. opinion as of the City Council plan? Α. The City Council plan? Yes. We're back to the City Council Q. plan. Α. Okay.

Page 143

Q. The nine single member district plan, the map that is in Exhibit A of your report.

What exactly is your expert opinion?

A. My opinion is that it is a plan, falls within plus or minus five percent of the deviation from ideal, and that upon-visual inspection, I don't see any problems -- any major problems, they're not all perfectly circular or hexagonal which would be -- and it would increase the likelihood that those groups, the Hispanics in District 1 and 2, African Americans in District 3, and a combination in District 4 would increase their opportunity to elect candidates of their choice to the City Council.

- Q. Okay. Which districts in -- and I'm back to the nine single member district City Council plan -- do you believe will provide to black persons an opportunity to elect candidates of choice?
- A. District 3 and I think District 4 provides an opportunity as well. I mean, all districts provide an opportunity, but I assume you're asking for increased opportunity.

Page 144

District 3 for sure and District 4.

- Q. And what is the basis for concluding that District 4 would provide to black persons an opportunity to elect candidates of choice?
- A. That's the next highest percentage black VAP, voting age percentage. It's 58 percent in District 3, 38 percent in District 4, and the next closest is at around 13 percent. So I think it provides, on the basis of those numbers, it provides increased opportunity.
- Q. Are you basing that opinion on the supposition that black and Hispanic persons tend to prefer the same candidates?
- A. No. I think it gives them -- I mean, if they are a cohesive group, it gives them an area within which they can select the candidate that they choose. The black population in District 4 may relate and find a fine Hispanic candidate that they want to get behind in which case, if that were to be the case, you got to combine Hispanic, black voting aged population of 55 percent in that district.
- Q. Well, do you have any opinion as to whether black and Hispanic candidates are

1.0

Page 145

politically cohesive as a group together?

- A. No, I really don't. And from my experience in Connecticut, the answer would be sometimes. The coalition I worked for to prepare that redistricting plan for the senate district for the NAACP, was a coalition of Hispanic and the NAACP, and they worked quite well together. At the state level they have sometimes worked well together, sometimes not. So I don't know enough about Springfield to really know, but if it works in other places I see there are opportunities, yes.
- Q. Well, the question more precisely is whether black persons and Hispanic persons prefer the same candidate, not whether black or Hispanic leaders can work together, so do you have any evidence that black voters and Hispanic voters tend to prefer the same candidates?
- A. No. I guess I do not, at that individual level, no.
- Q. Do you have any recollection as to whether there was evidence in the Metts versus -- I'm going to take, Omen (phonetic), was the first opinion, that black and Hispanic

	Page 146
1	persons prefer the same candidates?
2	A. No, I don't. I do not know.
3	Q. Do you have any recollection as to
4	whether there was evidence in Black Political
5	Task Force versus Galvin that black and
6	Hispanics are cohesive together, not
7	individually?
8	A. This sort of goes beyond the area of
9	what I consider my expertise, so the answer
LO	would be no. But I suppose if I were to go back
L1	and read all the testimony and all the expert
L2	reports, I might find different
L3	Q. Let me give you
L4	
L5	(Cell phone rings)
16	
L7	(Recess taken)
L8	
L9	MS. ROSS: Back on the record.
20	Q. (By Ms. Ross) This is Dr. Engstrom's
21	report filed by plaintiff in this case.
22	MS. ROSS: If you could mark that
23	Exhibit 4.
24	

	Page 147
1	(Exhibit 4, Dr. Engstrom's
2	report, marked)
3	
4	Q. (By Ms. Ross) It's up to you what
5	you refer to, but I find it easiest to took at
6	Dr. Engstrom's tables beginning on Page 23, but
7	in looking at his charts, Page 23 through 30,
8	do you have any sense of, according to Dr.
9	Engstrom, whether black and Hispanic persons are
LO	more likely are likely to prefer the same
11	candidate?
12	MS. COHEN: Objection. I think
1.3	there's been no foundation, Deanne, for
L 4	this at all.
15	Q. (By Ms. Ross) I said, do you any
L6	have sense
L7	MS. COHEN: I don't think you've
L8	even properly identified this, asked him
19	if he's ever seen it before, asked him
20	if he's read it.
21	MS. ROSS: He said he hadn't.
22	THE WITNESS: And that's true.
23	MS. COHEN: Right. So how can he
24	testify

	Da 140
1	Page 148 MS. ROSS: I'm asking him to look
2	at the chart and whether
3	THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the
4	chart on Page 23
5	Q. (By Ms. Ross) Do you even understand
6 -	these charts?
7	A and I'm not even sure exactly what
8	these percentages really refer to or how they
9	were estimated or calculated. I presume that's
10	what was the body of the report was about.
11	Q. So you've actually never referred or
12	used these types of calculations?
13	A. No, I have not.
L 4	Q. Aside from whether and we're
L5	talking about the City Council plan whether
L6	they have a greater opportunity well, let me
L7	strike that.
L8	How much I mean, you mentioned
_9	that they have a greater opportunity than now
20	under the current method of election, did you
21	testify to that?
22	MS. COHEN: Objection as to form.
23	You just said "a greater opportunity
24	than now."

	Page 149
1	Q. (By Ms. Ross) Than under the current
2	method of election.
3	A. I think so, yes.
4	Q. What kind of opportunity do they have
5	now, in your opinion?
. 6	A. I find that very hard to put a
7	quantitative amount on it. This is sort of a
8	greater than or less than issue. I mean,
9	clearly African Americans and Hispanics have
10	been elected to Springfield City Council. I
11	don't know the long history of it and how many
12	and exactly when. Clearly, it has happened. So
13	there obviously is some opportunity. And
14	Q. So you're not
15	A. I think this increases the
16	opportunity. But it's very hard to measure how
17	much it increases the opportunity. And I'll go
18	back to what I said earlier, I really do believe
19	that the formation of districts by itself if
20	they're, you know, formed correctly, is an
21	important component of that; not the only
22	component, but important.
23	Q. Other than the fact you just
24	testified that you were aware that black and

Pa	age	151
representation" and the term "proportional		
opportunities to elect"; does that have a		
does that even have a separate meaning for you?	?	
A. Well, "proportional representational	L "	
would have a meaning to me that if there were a	£	
100 members of the City Council and they were		
breaking into groups this many, that would be a	ā	
"proportional representation."		
"Proportional opportunity," I'm not		
quite sure what that concept would be. No, I		
really don't understand that term.		
Q. If it were true that two blacks have	>	
been elected to the City Council, would you		
still believe that your proposed plan provides		
for greater electoral opportunity than does the	a	

MS. COHEN: Objection as to form.

I don't think it's clear exactly what
you're referring to.

current method of election?

Q. (By Ms. Ross) Actually, if we go to Page 21 of Dr. Engstrom's report, Paragraph 56, he states that in only one of the City Council elections have two African Americans been elected, this occurred in 1999.

Page 191 1 plans are based and to render an opinion as to 2 whether the proposed districts result in 3 majority/minority voting aged populations sufficient to provide plaintiffs with a greater 4 5 opportunity to elect candidates of choice. 6 ----- MS. COHEN: It should say "representatives of their choice." 7 8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 9 Representatives of their choice. 10 Q. (By Ms. Ross) So in asked to do 11 that, you don't think it's relevant as to the 12 degree to which candidates who have been elected 13 are preferred candidate? That probably is relevant. It goes 14 15 beyond my level of expertise. It goes beyond 16 what I can really speak to. There are -because there are so many components to that, it 17 18 takes a broad range of knowledge that I really can't, you know -- I really don't have. Okay. 19 20 This is --So are you saying you don't have the 21 Q. 22 experience to actually render the opinion you 23 iust --24 MS. COHEN: Objection.